

Uncovering cell type neighborhood changes in Alzheimer's disease

Yuhong Li^{1,2}; Yiliu Wang^{1,2}; Mariano Gabitto¹ ¹Allen Institute, ²University of Washington

Abstract

ALLEN INSTITUTE for BRAIN SCIENCE

Neurons in the cerebral cortex are organized in a well-defined, layered structure, with distinct cell types positioned in specific locations. In the human brain, the six-layered cortex consists of excitatory cell groups, each localized to particular layers. In contrast, glial cells, which serve as support cells, are interspersed among neurons, performing various functions such as responding to pathological insults and maintaining synaptic balance. Recent research has mapped the spatial distribution of individual cell types in the middle temporal gyrus—a cortical area involved in language processing using brain samples from the Seattle Alzheimer's Disease Brain Cell Atlas (SEA-AD). This cohort includes donors across the full spectrum of the disease, providing an opportunity to investigate how cellular spatial neighborhoods change as the disease progresses. In this work, we developed a spatial point process framework to investigate interactions between different cell types. We incorporated a stochastic block latent model to capture layer-specific interactions and to track how these relationships evolve with increasing disease severity. Through simulation studies, we demonstrated the model's ability to accurately recover an underlying ground truth block structure and quantify the effects of disease, showcasing its potential as a powerful tool for understanding cell type interactions during disease progression.

Introduction

- This dataset includes 79 specimens from 34 distinct donors, with each specimen associated with both a donor-specific and specimen-specific pseudotime, representing a particular stage of Alzheimer's progression.
- For all supertypes in a given specimen, we classify them into layered and non-layered cell types through a comparison of the supertype window area to the specimen window area and quadrat count analysis afterwards.
- Neuronal cells are usually layered while non-neuronal cells are non-layered.

nhibitory neuronal cell subclasses from H21.33.001.Cx22.MTG.02.007.1..01.04

n-neuronal cell subclasses from H21.33.001.Cx22.MTG.02.007.1..01.04



Figure 1. Visualization of neuronal and non-neuronal cell types from a selected specimen. The top three panels show subclasses of excitatory, inhibitory, and non-neuronal cell types, while the bottom panels display examples of supertypes within selected subclass categories.

probability of observing a point with mark i, p_{ii} denotes the probability that a typical point of mark *i* has its nearest neighbor with mark *j*, and $p_i = p_{1i} + p_{2i}$ for i, j = 1, 2.



supertypes

type *i*. Mathem



Figure 4. An example of the spatial connectivity matrix Figure 5. Examples of interaction strength changes between from a selected specimen (at specimen-pseudotime 36) supertypes along specimen pseudotime

Contact

<Yuhong Li> <University of Washington> Email: y2564li@uw.edu

ry neuronal cell subclasses from H21.33.001.Cx22.MTG.02.007.1..01.04

Blockstructure of cell types

The block structure is imposed for layered cell types using segregation index, defined by Pielou (1977), $S = 1 - \frac{p_{12} + p_{21}}{p_1 p_{.2} + p_2 p_{.1}}$, where p_i denotes the

Figure 2. Average segregation index of layered and nonlayered

Figure 3. Examples of segregation index dynamics along specimen pseudotime

Spatial connectivity matrix

• We calculate the interaction between cell type pairs using the multitype Lfunction, which is a scaled version of the multitye K-function. It counts the expected number of points of type j within a given distance r of a point of

natically,
$$L_{ij}(r) = \sqrt{K_{ij}(r)/\pi}$$
 , where

 $K_{ij}(r) = 1/\lambda_j \mathbb{E}[\text{number of type } j \text{ points distance } r \text{ from a typical type } i \text{ point}]$ • Here we show the connectivity matrices from 3 specimens, corresponding to the specimen-specific pseudotime at 2, 36, and 76 (range: 0-78).

Celltype Interactions along Pseudotime Progression Oligo_4 vs VLMC_1 Micro-PVM_3-SEAAD vs VLMC_2 Pax6 1 vs Chandelier 2 20

References

- 1. Statistical analysis and modelling of spatial point patterns, Illian et al, 2008
- Spatial point patterns: Methodology and applications with R, Baddeley et al, 2017 Uncovering latent structure in valued graphs: a variational approach, Mariadassou et al 2011
- 4. Stochastic block models for latent networks and multiple measurement types, Mcelreath and Redhead, 2020
- 5. Integrated multimodel cell atlas of Alzeheimer's disease, Gabitto et al, 2023
- Multi-subject stochastic blockmodels for adaptive analysis of individual differences in human brain network cluster structure, Pavlovic et al, 2020
- 7. Inference for a generalized stochastic block model with unknown number of blocks and non-conjugate edge models, Ludkin, 2020

Latent Stochastic Blockmodel We develop a latent Stochastic Blockmodel to capture layer interactions. $z_i \sim \operatorname{Cat}(\pi_i)$, s.t. $\pi_i \sim \operatorname{Dir}(\theta)$ Then, define a latent block-level connectivity matrix that accounts for layerwise interaction between cell types, independent of donor-specific attributes. $y_{ijd} \sim \operatorname{Ber}(z_i^{\mathsf{T}} B z_j), B \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K} \text{ s.t.}$ $B_{ii} \sim N(0,1)$ if i = j $B_{ii} \sim N(-2,1)$ otherwise $X_{ijk} \sim \text{Po}(\alpha + \beta_k \cdot y_{ijd_k} + t_{d_k} \cdot r_{ij}) \text{ s.t.}$ $\alpha \sim N(0,1), \beta \sim N(1,1), r_{ii} \sim N(0,1)$ where d_k is the donor index for the specimen k. Note α is baseline rate, β_k is

Firstly, assign a membership z_i to each cell type i,

Finally, we relate the latent level to the observation level.

the block contribution and r_{ii} is the disease contribution.

Simulation results

Simulate the following block and disease effect: • Take 20 nodes with two blocks.

- Simulate 60 connectivity matrices for 30 donors with disease pseudo-time equally distributed in [0,0.9].
- Set $r_{ij} = 20$ for two pairs of nodes, $r_{ij} = 0$ for other pairs.



Connectivity matrices for the 1st, 15th, and 30th donor. We successfully recovered the underlying blocks and disease effects.

Discussions

- Real dataset is noisy with many missing cell types due to cell die-out.
- We can have more pairs of cell types with disease effects. How to determine the significances of the disease effects?
- Subclasses are parent classes of supertypes. A natural extension could be a hierarchical model that characterizes the interactions at subclass level.